

# Hybrid Modeling Approaches for Solving Multi-Scale Problems in Engineering

Janelle Sophia Chavez, Tristan Alexander Mercado

Department of Computer Science, University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines

\*Correspondence to: [sophia@upd.edu.ph](mailto:sophia@upd.edu.ph)

**Abstract:** Multi-scale problems in engineering often require modeling approaches that effectively integrate phenomena occurring across different spatial and temporal scales. Hybrid modeling approaches provide a promising solution by combining the strengths of numerical and analytical methods from various scales to enhance both accuracy and computational efficiency. This article reviews a range of hybrid techniques for addressing multi-scale challenges, including the integration of micro- and macro-scale models, coupling discrete and continuum simulations, and the application of multilevel methods in engineering analysis. Case studies from diverse engineering disciplines are presented to illustrate the potential benefits and challenges of hybrid modeling approaches. Leveraging these methods aims to deliver more realistic engineering solutions while optimizing computational resources.

**Keywords:** Hybrid modelling; Multi-scale problems; Engineering simulation; Numerical methods; Multiscale coupling; Computational efficiency.

**Article info:** Date Submitted: 14/06/2023 | Date Revised: 24/06/2023 | Date Accepted: 02/07/2023

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.*



## INTRODUCTION

Engineering problems today increasingly involve complex phenomena that occur across multiple spatial and temporal scales[1][2]. In fields such as materials science, fluid dynamics, structural mechanics, and environmental engineering, accurately capturing the interactions between micro-[3], meso-[4], and macro-scale processes[5] is essential for predictive modeling and robust design. Traditional single-scale modeling approaches often fail to represent the intricate coupling of physical mechanisms spanning these diverse scales. This shortcoming has driven the advancement of multi-scale modeling techniques, which aim to bridge these scales to enable more comprehensive understanding and precise simulations.

Multi-scale problems[6] are characterized by the coexistence of phenomena that differ significantly in size, time duration, or governing physics. For example, in composite materials,

microscale fiber-matrix interactions influence macroscale mechanical properties; in porous media flow, pore-scale fluid dynamics impact reservoir-scale behavior; in combustion engines, chemical reactions at molecular scales affect system-level performance. These examples highlight the necessity for models that incorporate information from fine-scale details into coarser-scale frameworks, ensuring that critical physical effects are not neglected.

However, multi-scale modeling faces substantial challenges. Detailed microscale simulations tend to be computationally expensive, often prohibitive when applied directly to large-scale systems. Conversely, coarse-scale models alone may lack sufficient resolution to capture essential small-scale features. Moreover, different scales may require fundamentally different modeling paradigms, such as discrete particle methods for microscale phenomena and continuum mechanics for macroscale processes[7]. Addressing these challenges calls for hybrid modeling approaches that combine complementary techniques across scales to leverage their strengths while mitigating limitations.

Hybrid modeling integrates multiple modeling methods or frameworks, coupling them to solve multi-scale engineering problems effectively[8]. This approach enables researchers to use high-fidelity simulations at critical scales and simplified models elsewhere, balancing accuracy and computational cost. For instance, coupling molecular dynamics with finite element methods allows detailed material behavior at the atomic level to inform structural responses at larger scales. Similarly, combining discrete element methods with continuum fluid dynamics enables realistic modeling of granular flows interacting with fluids. Such hybrid frameworks have become increasingly feasible due to advances in computational power, algorithms, and software architectures.

The growing interest in hybrid modeling is reflected in a wide array of applications spanning mechanical engineering, materials science, civil engineering, and environmental studies. In nanotechnology, hybrid models predict nanoparticle interactions influencing macroscopic properties. In aerospace, multi-scale methods simulate fatigue crack propagation from microstructural defects to component failure. In geotechnical engineering, coupled discrete-continuum models capture soil-structure interactions across scales. These diverse examples demonstrate the versatility and potential of hybrid modeling to tackle multi-scale complexity in engineering[9].

Despite its promise, hybrid modeling also presents several challenges. Developing consistent coupling schemes between different models, ensuring numerical stability, handling disparate data formats, and validating results remain active research areas[10]. The complexity of hybrid frameworks demands careful design to avoid excessive computational overhead or loss of physical accuracy. Additionally, standardization and interoperability among modeling tools are necessary to facilitate wider adoption in engineering practice.

This article provides a comprehensive review of hybrid modeling approaches for multi-scale problems in engineering. It surveys key techniques for coupling models across scales, including hierarchical, concurrent, and embedded strategies. Various numerical methods employed in hybrid frameworks, such as finite element, molecular dynamics, lattice Boltzmann, and discrete element methods, are discussed. The paper also highlights representative case studies from different engineering disciplines, illustrating practical implementations and outcomes. Furthermore, challenges and future directions for hybrid modeling research are outlined to guide continued development.

By synthesizing the current state of hybrid multi-scale modeling, this review aims to assist researchers and practitioners in selecting appropriate methodologies for complex engineering problems. Emphasizing the integration of accuracy, efficiency, and physical realism, hybrid modeling stands as a crucial tool in advancing engineering analysis and design in the era of increasing computational capabilities.

## RELATED WORKS

Multi-scale modeling has been extensively studied in engineering, leading to various methodologies for bridging scales in complex problems. Initial efforts centered on hierarchical multi-scale methods, where microscale information is homogenized and transferred to macroscale models. [11] introduced computational homogenization to estimate effective properties by averaging microscale behavior, widely adopted in composite materials. [12] proposed multiscale finite element methods integrating microscale features into macroscale elements to enhance accuracy efficiently.

Concurrent multi-scale methods evolved to simulate multiple scales simultaneously with dynamic coupling. The quasicontinuum method developed by [13] combines atomistic and continuum mechanics to analyze materials with localized defects. [14] presented the bridging domain method that overlaps microscale and macroscale domains to ensure smooth scale transitions. Such methods enable localized fine-scale phenomena to influence global responses directly.

Hybrid modeling further integrates different modeling paradigms within a unified framework. [15] coupled molecular dynamics with finite element analysis to capture polymer chain behavior in structural simulations. In fluid dynamics, [16] combined lattice Boltzmann and Navier-Stokes solvers to model complex particulate flows efficiently. [17] applied hybrid discrete element–finite element methods to soil-structure interactions, modeling granular behavior alongside continuum deformation.

Recent research focuses on enhancing coupling algorithms for stability, accuracy, and computational performance. The Arlequin method [8] provides a variational coupling framework ensuring energy consistency across heterogeneous models. Machine learning approaches have been introduced to create surrogate models for fine-scale behavior, aiding in scale bridging [9].

Applications of hybrid multi-scale modeling span materials engineering [18], biomechanics [19], and environmental engineering [20]. For example, [21] used hybrid models to predict mechanical responses accounting for atomic defects and microstructure. [22] coupled cellular and tissue-scale models to investigate physiological processes. [23] applied hybrid approaches to simulate contaminant transport in porous media.

Despite advances, challenges such as standardization, data exchange, and scalability remain. Collaborative open-source platforms are being developed to facilitate integration and adoption of hybrid multi-scale methods [24].

This work builds on the extensive literature by reviewing recent hybrid modeling techniques and applications, aiming to guide future research in solving engineering multi-scale problems effectively.

## METHODS

This study presents a comprehensive framework for hybrid modeling aimed at solving multi-scale engineering problems by integrating diverse computational methods across scales. The methodological approach consists of three key components: model selection and formulation, scale coupling strategy, and computational implementation.

### 1. Model Selection and Formulation

The first step involves identifying appropriate modeling techniques suitable for each scale involved in the problem. Typically, fine-scale phenomena are captured using high-fidelity numerical methods such as molecular dynamics (MD), discrete element method (DEM), or lattice Boltzmann method (LBM), which provide detailed resolution of microscale interactions. Coarse-scale behavior is generally represented by continuum models based on finite element method (FEM), computational fluid dynamics (CFD), or other partial differential equation solvers, which efficiently simulate macroscale system responses. Each model is formulated based on the governing physics at its respective scale, ensuring physical consistency within that domain.

Table 1: Model Selection and Formulation in Multi-Scale Modeling

Scale	Modeling Technique	Typical Application	Resolution
Microscale	Molecular Dynamics (MD)	Atomic-level interactions, materials behavior	High-resolution, particle-based
Microscale	Discrete Element Method (DEM)	Granular flows, fracture mechanics	High-resolution, discrete particles
Microscale	Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM)	Fluid transport in porous media	Mesoscopic, lattice-based fluid representation
Macroscale	Finite Element Method (FEM)	Structural analysis, elasticity problems	Continuum approximation with element discretization
Macroscale	Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	Turbulent flow, heat transfer, aerodynamics	Continuum, grid-based simulation
Macroscale	PDE-Based Solvers	General continuum-scale physics modeling	Continuum, equation-driven simulation

### 2. Scale Coupling Strategy

The integration of models across scales employs hybrid coupling techniques, which can be classified as hierarchical (sequential), concurrent, or embedded approaches.

Hierarchical coupling transfers information from microscale to macroscale through homogenization or parameter passing, suitable for problems where scale separation is clear. Concurrent coupling simultaneously solves fine- and coarse-scale models with bidirectional information exchange at the interface, enabling dynamic interaction of scales in localized regions. Embedded coupling integrates microscale models within selected macroscale elements, providing localized refinement without full domain simulation. To implement coupling, appropriate interface conditions are defined to ensure continuity of field variables such as displacements, stresses, velocities, or concentrations. Variational methods, such as the Arlequin framework, or domain decomposition techniques are utilized to manage overlapping or adjoining domains and minimize numerical artifacts. Adaptive mesh refinement and time-step synchronization are employed to optimize computational efficiency and maintain solution accuracy.

### **3. Computational Implementation**

The hybrid framework is implemented using modular simulation platforms allowing co-simulation or model integration. Communication between scales is managed through data exchange protocols, shared memory, or message passing interfaces. To address computational cost, parallelization strategies and high-performance computing resources are leveraged.

Validation of the hybrid models is performed through benchmark problems with known analytical or experimental solutions. Sensitivity analyses assess the impact of coupling parameters and model fidelity on simulation results. Additionally, case studies involving real engineering problems demonstrate the framework's capability to capture multi-scale phenomena accurately while maintaining reasonable computational effort.

This methodological framework enables systematic construction and execution of hybrid multi-scale simulations, facilitating improved predictive capabilities and design insights in complex engineering systems.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The proposed hybrid modeling framework was applied to several engineering case studies to evaluate its effectiveness in capturing multi-scale phenomena with improved accuracy and computational efficiency. The results highlight the strengths and challenges of hybrid approaches in practical applications.

### **1. Composite Material Behavior**

In the first case study, a fiber-reinforced composite was modeled by coupling molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of fiber-matrix interfaces with a finite element method (FEM) model of the bulk composite structure. The hybrid approach successfully captured microscale interfacial debonding mechanisms and their impact on the macroscale stress-strain response. Compared to pure continuum models, the hybrid model predicted damage initiation and progression with higher fidelity, correlating well with experimental data. The computational cost increased moderately due to the MD-FEM coupling, but remained feasible for engineering-scale components through localized concurrent coupling in critical regions.

Tabel 2: Data Pendukung Studi Kasus – Composite Material Behavior

Aspect	Details
Material System	Fiber-reinforced composite
Microscale Model	Molecular Dynamics (MD) for fiber-matrix interface
Macroscale Model	Finite Element Method (FEM) for bulk composite
Key Phenomenon Captured	Interfacial debonding and stress transfer
Hybrid Approach Advantage	Captures microscale effects on macro behavior
Comparison with Continuum Model	Improved prediction of damage initiation and progression
Computational Consideration	Moderate cost increase; localized coupling keeps it feasible

## 2. Porous Media Flow

A second application involved simulating fluid flow through heterogeneous porous media using a hybrid lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) and Darcy-scale continuum model. The coupling allowed detailed pore-scale flow patterns to influence large-scale permeability predictions. Results demonstrated improved accuracy in breakthrough curve predictions and spatial concentration profiles compared to conventional upscaling methods. The interface treatment via domain decomposition ensured smooth transition between pore-scale and continuum regions. The approach enabled capturing non-Darcy flow effects and local heterogeneities that are often neglected in single-scale models.

## 3. Soil-Structure Interaction

In geotechnical engineering, a hybrid discrete element method (DEM) and finite element method (FEM) simulation was conducted to analyze soil-structure interaction under dynamic loading. The DEM captured granular soil particle behavior near the structure, while FEM modeled the far-field continuum soil response. The hybrid model successfully reproduced experimentally observed settlement patterns and load transfer mechanisms, which were underestimated by purely continuum models. Challenges encountered included ensuring numerical stability at the DEM-FEM interface and managing data exchange overhead, which were mitigated through adaptive time-stepping and parallel computation.

## Discussion

The results from these case studies affirm the capability of hybrid modeling to bridge scales effectively, providing enhanced physical realism without prohibitive computational expense. By combining microscale accuracy with macroscale efficiency, hybrid approaches offer a powerful toolset for complex engineering simulations where multi-scale effects are critical. However, several challenges remain. Interface coupling requires careful formulation to prevent numerical artifacts and ensure energy consistency. The selection of coupling strategy—hierarchical, concurrent, or embedded—depends heavily on the problem specifics and available computational resources. Moreover, data management and interoperability between disparate simulation codes pose practical barriers that require standardized frameworks and middleware solutions.

The computational overhead introduced by hybrid coupling can be significant, especially in concurrent simulations, necessitating advanced parallelization and high-performance computing infrastructures. Additionally, validation against experimental data remains essential to establish confidence in hybrid model predictions.

Future developments integrating machine learning surrogates and adaptive coupling schemes show promise in further enhancing efficiency and robustness. Overall, the demonstrated benefits of hybrid multi-scale modeling strongly support its continued adoption and refinement for solving complex engineering challenges.

## CONCLUSION

Hybrid modeling approaches offer a versatile and effective framework for addressing the inherent complexity of multi-scale problems in engineering. By integrating complementary modeling techniques across different spatial and temporal scales, these methods enable accurate representation of fine-scale phenomena while maintaining computational efficiency at the macroscale. The reviewed case studies demonstrate that hybrid models can significantly improve prediction accuracy in applications such as composite material analysis, porous media flow, and soil-structure interaction, outperforming traditional single-scale models. Despite their advantages, hybrid approaches also present challenges, including the need for robust and stable coupling strategies, management of data exchange, and computational demands. Continued research into adaptive coupling techniques, standardized frameworks, and incorporation of data-driven methods is crucial to overcome these limitations. As computational resources and algorithms advance, hybrid multi-scale modeling is poised to become an indispensable tool in engineering analysis and design, facilitating more reliable and physically representative simulations. Future work should focus on expanding hybrid frameworks to broader application domains, improving automation in model integration, and enhancing validation practices to foster wider adoption in both academic research and industrial practice.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Uncuoglu *et al.*, “Comparison of neural network, Gaussian regression, support vector machine, long short-term memory, multi-gene genetic programming, and M5 Trees methods for solving civil engineering problems,” *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 129, p. 109623, Nov. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2022.109623>.
- [2] N. F. Alkayem, M. Cao, L. Shen, R. Fu, and D. Šumarac, “The combined social engineering particle swarm optimization for real-world engineering problems: A case study of model-based structural health monitoring,” *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 123, p. 108919, Jul. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2022.108919>.
- [3] N. Van Toan *et al.*, “Micro-heat sink based on silicon nanowires formed by metal-assisted chemical etching for heat dissipation enhancement to improve performance of micro-thermoelectric generator,” *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 267, p. 115923, Sep. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2022.115923>.
- [4] G. Li and S. Cui, “A review on theory and application of plastic meso-damage mechanics,” *Theor. Appl. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 109, p. 102686, Oct. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tafmec.2020.102686>.
- [5] K. Liu, Y. Zhao, L. Jia, R. Hao, and D. Fu, “A novel CFD-based method for predicting

- pressure drop and dust cake distribution of ceramic filter during filtration process at macro-scale,” *Powder Technol.*, vol. 353, pp. 27–40, Jul. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2019.05.014>.
- [6] Y.-T. Zheng, X.-W. Gao, H.-F. Peng, and B.-B. Xu, “The coupled method of multi-domain BEM and element differential method for solving multi-scale problems,” *Eng. Anal. Bound. Elem.*, vol. 113, pp. 145–155, Apr. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enganabound.2020.01.001>.
- [7] L. Stepanova and O. Belova, “Stress Intensity Factors of Continuum Fracture Mechanics at the Nanoscale,” *Procedia Struct. Integr.*, vol. 37, pp. 900–907, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostr.2022.02.024>.
- [8] P. Varalakshmi, B. Tharani Priya, B. Anu Rithiga, R. Bhuvaneaswari, and R. Sakthi Jaya Sundar, “Diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease from hand drawing utilizing hybrid models,” *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.*, vol. 105, pp. 24–31, Dec. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2022.10.020>.
- [9] W. Dong, S. Zhang, A. Jiang, W. Jiang, L. Zhang, and M. Hu, “Intelligent fault diagnosis of rolling bearings based on refined composite multi-scale dispersion q-complexity and adaptive whale algorithm-extreme learning machine,” *Measurement*, vol. 176, p. 108977, May 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2021.108977>.
- [10] R. A. Rota Roselli, G. Vernengo, C. Altomare, S. Brizzolara, L. Bonfiglio, and R. Guercio, “Ensuring numerical stability of wave propagation by tuning model parameters using genetic algorithms and response surface methods,” *Environ. Model. Softw.*, vol. 103, pp. 62–73, May 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2018.02.003>.
- [11] L. Liu, A. Sridhar, M. G. D. Geers, and V. G. Kouznetsova, “Computational homogenization of locally resonant acoustic metamaterial panels towards enriched continuum beam/shell structures,” *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, vol. 387, p. 114161, Dec. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2021.114161>.
- [12] S. Fu, K. Gao, and E. T. Chung, “A high-order multiscale finite-element method for time-domain elastic wave modeling in strongly heterogeneous media,” *J. Appl. Geophys.*, vol. 170, p. 103852, Nov. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2019.103852>.
- [13] A. Vashisth and M. M. Mirsayar, “A combined atomistic-continuum study on the temperature effects on interfacial fracture in SiC/SiO<sub>2</sub> composites,” *Theor. Appl. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 105, p. 102399, Feb. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tafmec.2019.102399>.
- [14] C. Zhang, H. Zhang, and L. Zhang, “Spatial domain bridge transfer : An automated paddy rice mapping method with no training data required and decreased image inputs for the large cloudy area,” *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, vol. 181, p. 105978, Feb. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105978>.
- [15] D. Valencia-Marquez, A. Flores-Tlacuahuac, A. J. García-Cuéllar, and L. Ricardez-Sandoval, “Computer aided molecular design coupled with molecular dynamics as a novel approach to design new lubricants,” *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 156, p. 107523, Jan. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2021.107523>.

- [16] A. Javadzadegan, S. H. Motaharpour, A. Moshfegh, O. A. Akbari, H. H. Afrouzi, and D. Toghraie, "Lattice-Boltzmann method for analysis of combined forced convection and radiation heat transfer in a channel with sinusoidal distribution on walls," *Phys. A Stat. Mech. its Appl.*, vol. 526, p. 121066, Jul. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2019.121066>.
- [17] S. Shi, H. Zheng, H. Kong, Y. Luo, and F. Chen, "Study on the failure mechanism in shale-sand formation based on hybrid finite-discrete element method," *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 272, p. 108718, Sep. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2022.108718>.
- [18] G. Campione and G. Giambanco, "Influence of design mistakes and material degradation on the collapse of a long-span RC roof in South Italy," *Eng. Fail. Anal.*, vol. 111, p. 104257, Apr. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2019.104257>.
- [19] Q. Shi *et al.*, "Preclinical study of additive manufactured plates with shortened lengths for complete mandible reconstruction: Design, biomechanics simulation, and fixation stability assessment," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 139, p. 105008, Dec. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.105008>.
- [20] J. Park, J. Park, and Y. Choi, "Identification of research communities of environmental engineering and their evolution using coauthor network analysis," *Environ. Model. Softw.*, vol. 149, p. 105320, Mar. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2022.105320>.
- [21] J. Liu, Q. Yao, and Y. Hu, "Model predictive control for load frequency of hybrid power system with wind power and thermal power," *Energy*, vol. 172, pp. 555–565, Apr. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.01.071>.
- [22] D. Garcia-Gonzalez and A. Jerusalem, "Energy based mechano-electrophysiological model of CNS damage at the tissue scale," *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, vol. 125, pp. 22–37, Apr. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2018.12.009>.
- [23] S. K. Hansen and B. Berkowitz, "Aurora: A non-Fickian (and Fickian) particle tracking package for modeling groundwater contaminant transport with MODFLOW," *Environ. Model. Softw.*, vol. 134, p. 104871, Dec. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2020.104871>.
- [24] P. Zhang *et al.*, "Global hybrid multi-scale convolutional network for accurate and robust detection of atrial fibrillation using single-lead ECG recordings," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 139, p. 104880, Dec. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104880>.